# Please Direct All Correspondence to Customer Number 20995

#### ISSUE FEE TRANSMITTAL LETTER

**Applicants** 

Jan Vanhoof et al.

App. No.

09/696,836

Filed

October 25, 2000

For

INTERPROCESS COMMUNICATION

PROTOCOL SYSTEM

Art Unit

2143

Class/Sub-Class

709/230000

Examiner

Jerry B. Dennison

### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

June 6, 2006

Bruce S. Itchkawitz, Reg. No. 47,6

## Mail Stop Issue Fee

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### Dear Sir:

Enclosed for filing is the Issue Fee for the above-identified application:

- (X) Form PTOL-85;
- (X) Comments on Examiner's Reasons for Statement of Allowance;
- (X) A check in the amount of \$1,430.00 is enclosed for the following fees:
  - (X) \$1,400 Issue Fee; and
  - (X) \$30 advance order of ten (10) copies; and
- (X) Return prepaid postcard.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Account No. 11-1410.

Bruce S. Itchkawitz

Registration No. 47,677

Attorney of Record

Customer No. 20,995

(949) 760-0404



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Jan Vanhoof et al.

Group Art Unit 2143

Appl. No.

: 09/696,836

Filed

: October 25, 2000

For

: INTERPROCESS COMMUNICATION

PROTOCOL SYSTEM

Examiner

: Jerry B. Dennison

## COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

## Mail Stop Issue Fee

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the limitations recited by the Examiner are not present in all of the claims.

To the extent that there is any implication that the patentability of the claims rests on the recitation of a single feature, Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's Statement because it is the combination of features that makes the claims patentable. Accordingly, Applicant submits that the claims of the present application are allowable because each of the claims recites a combination of features that are not taught or suggested by the prior art.

Respectfully submitted,

		KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
Dated:	6/6/06	By: 12m & Stull
		Bruce S. Itchkawitz
		Registration No. 47,677 (
		Attorney of Record
		2040 Main Street
		Fourteenth Floor
		Irvine, CA 92614
		(949) 760-0404